

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,693	03/03/2004	Arthur L. Rowe	118923	2285
25944 7	590 02/22/2006		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BEI	RRIDGE, PLC 28		CASAREGOI	A, LOUIS J
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
. •			3746	
			DATE MAIL ED: 02/22/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/790,693	ROWE, ARTHUR L.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Louis J. Casaregola	3746			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the $oldsymbol{c}$	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONED	I. lety filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E.	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 22-25 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,17-21,26 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 3-16,27 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceed applicant may not request that any objection to the december of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the E drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign and All bi Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	have been received. have been received in Application ty documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Dai 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te			

Art Unit: 3746

Restriction Requirement

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 USC 121:

- I. Claims 1-21 and 26-27 drawn to gas turbine control apparatus classified in Class 60, subclass 39.281, and
- II. Claims 22-25 drawn to a gas turbine control method classified in Class 60, subclass 773.

The inventions of Groups I and II above are distinct because the method of Group II could be practiced with apparatus materially different than that of Group I. The controller required by the claimed apparatus corresponds to an automated control system as shown, for example, in the illustrated embodiment of the invention. The corresponding control steps in the claimed method however could be performed manually using simplified apparatus without an automated control system.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and require separate classification and/or divergent fields of search, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

On 2/15/06, applicants representative, Mr. Phillip Wrist, made a telephone election of the invention of Group I, claims 1-21 and 26-27. An action on the merits of the elected claims is set forth below, and non-elected claims 22-25 are withdrawn from further consideration.

Objections To Claims

Claims 4 and 5 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(a) for the following reason: In line 1 of both claims 4 and 5, reference to "the proportions" lacks proper antecedent basis. Claims 4 and 5 depend from claim 2, but the antecedent for the term "proportions" is introduced in claim 3. Claims 4 and 5 should therefore depend from claim 3 rather than claim 2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC 102

Claims 1, 21, and 26 are rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by either Tsuzuki et al or Hawes.

All limitations of the claimed gas turbine engine and control system are met by conventional two-spool engines which, like those in the cited references, use the speeds of both spools as inputs for a fuel control. Attention is called for example to Tsuzuki's Figures 1 and 2. Note that engine 10 has a first spool including compressor 24 and a second spool including compressor 16 (Fig. 1), and note also that the respecttive speeds of the two spools, N2 and N1, are input to control unit 80 which operates a fuel metering valve.

Attention is further called to Hawes' Figure 3 which shows a similar system. Note that first and second spool speeds, Nh and Nl, serve as inputs for fuel control unit 11.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Hawes.

The claimed "composite parameter" is sufficiently broad to read on any parameter that is a function of both spool speeds such as, for example, the output of block 54 in Hawes' Figure 3 or the output of block 60 in Hawes' Figure 4.

Claims 17-20 are rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Tsuzuki et al.

Note that Tsuzuki's control system receives engine inlet temperature and pressure as further inputs; see Figure 2, elements 68 and 70.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 3-16 and 27 contain allowable subject matter but are objected to as depending from rejected parent claims. If these claims are written in independent form, they will be allowed. (Note that allowance of claims 4 and 5 is further contingent upon overcoming the § 1.75(a) objection above.)

Art Unit: 3746

Additional References

McLaughlin et al and Larkin are cited as disclosing further examples of two-spool turbine engines that use the speeds of both spools as fuel control inputs.

L. J. Casaregola

571-272-4826 (M-F; 7:30-4:00)

571-273-8300 FAX February 21, 2006

If repeated attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the art unit supervisor, Timothy Thorpe, can be reached at 571-272-4444.

Information regarding the status of this application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR, and status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).